LRMC Methodology Enhancement Options

Gas TCMF - Gas Transmission Charging Methodologies Forum 23rd February 2006



Introduction

- This presentation covers
 - Summary of the prevailing LRMC Methodology
 - Options for potential enhancements to the LRMC Methodology



LRMC Methodology Enhancement Options

Prevailing Capacity Price Methodology



Prevailing Capacity Price Methodology Recap

- Similar capacity pricing methodologies are in place for
 - Exit (LRMC)
 - Defined within the Transmission Transportation Charging Methodology Statement
 - <u>http://www.nationalgrid.com/NR/rdonlyres/36D16671-5658-43B2-B933-</u> 8246418D577C/4170/StatementoftheTransmissionTransportationChargingMe.pdf
 - Entry (LRIC) Incremental prices
 - Defined within Incremental Entry Capacity Release Methodology Statement
 - http://www.nationalgrid.com/NR/rdonlyres/2161F25D-73D6-4451-8706-A9136EB7E890/4158/IECRv52FinalProposals5Oct05.pdf
 - Entry UCAs Used to set reserve prices
 - National Grid NTS analysis feeds into Ofgem process

Prevailing Exit LRMC Methodology



Prevailing Entry LRIC Methodology



2002 Entry UCA Data Methodology



LRMC Methodology Enhancement Options

Potential Enhancements



Potential Enhancements

Transport Model

- Investigating potential enhancements to "Transcost" and alternative "simpler" models
- If Transcost is to be retained, considering ways in which model could be made easier to use by industry

Tariff Model (post processing)

 Assessing whether there are any better alternatives to the way in which the outputs from the Transport Model are used to derive tariffs



- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- **3.** How should spare network capacity be treated?
- 4. <u>Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?</u>
- 5. How should entry and exit costs be disaggregated?
- 6. How should negative costs be treated?
- 7. Should capacity charges be adjusted to 50:50 entry:exit and if so how?
- 8. Are zones required?
- 9. Should capacity charges be adjusted to recover allowed revenue and if so how?
- **10.** Should year on year price changes be capped?

Transport Model Tariff Model

- S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year? 1.
- Plow should incremental costs be modelled? 2.
- How should S&D scenarios be generated? 4. Should de
- 5. How shoul ♦b) If multiple years,
- 6. How shoul

3.

- 7. Should ca entry:exit
- 3. Are zones
- 9. Should ca ellowed re
- 10. Should ya

•*i*) The number of years might depend on the duration of capacity on offer

 Ii) When in the sequence should costs be combined?

 iii) Should yearly costs be combined by a weighted or a simple average?

- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- 3. ¦¦ງວາງ ເງ່ວງ ◆Three Transport Model Options.....
- 4. Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?
- 5. How should entry and exit costs be disaggregated?
- 6. How should negative costs be treated?
- 7. Should capacity charges be adjusted to 50:50 entry:exit and if so how?
- 8. Are zones required?
- Should capacity charges be adjusted to recover allowed revenue and if so how?
- 10. Should year on year price changes be capped?

Transport Model 1: Transcost

- Under this option, Transcost would be retained.
 - Incremental flows based on physical flow model
 - Incremental costs based on minimum cost of pipe and/or compression required to maintain pressures
 - Additional compressor units added at existing sites
 - Additional pipe added in parallel to existing pipes
 - NB there is no requirement to fully duplicate a route so the minimum pipe is identified



Transport Model 2: Transcost + Expansion Factor

- Under this option, a Transcost type model would be used to simulate incremental injections and offtakes to calculate incremental flows.
- The output used from Transcost would be:
 - the incremental (or decremental) flows on each line segment for a given incremental injection / offtake pair; and
 - the length and diameter of each line segment.
- Separately, an estimate would be made of the cost of accommodating an incremental MWkm of flow over different diameter pipelines (termed the "expansion constant" in the electricity regime).



Transport Model 2 Process: Transcost + Expansion Factor



Transport Model 3: Transportation model + Expansion Factor

- Under this option, the Transcost model is not used.
- The estimation of incremental flows is simply derived from a Transportation model
 - The Transportation Model retains the underlying network model characteristics but does not model flows based on physical flow equations (pan-handle).
 - As in Model 2, an estimated cost to accommodate incremental MWkm on pipes of different diameters is used.



Transport Model 3 Process: Transportation model + Expansion Factor



- S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year? 1.
- How should incremental costs be modelled? 2.
- How should spare network capacity be treated? 3.
- Should 4 How sh 5.
- How sh 6,
- Should 7. entryter
- and ent 8.

•A) Included in the model B) Removed by

- Scaling flows
- Removing assets
- Capping pressures
- Sperepievos ed isaggregated?]? to 50:50
- Should 9. sllowed revenue and if so how?
- 10. Should year on year price changes be capped?

"Spare Capacity"



- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- 3. How should spare network capacity be treated?
- 4. Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?
 - •What costs could be

Segredsized

- associated with backflow?
- Should capacity charges be adjusted to 50:50 entry:exit and if so how?
- 3. Are zones required?

5.

- Should capacity charges be adjusted to recover allowed revenue and if so how?
- 10. Should year on year price changes be capped?

Decremental Costs



Alternate Transport Models Summary

Scenario	Prevailing Exit (Transcost)	Model 1 (Transcost)	Model 2 (Transcost + Expansion Factor)	Model 3 (Transportation model + Expansion Factor)
1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?	10 Years	1 to 10 years	1 to n years	1 to n years
2. How should incremental costs be modelled?	Physical Flow Model	Physical Flow Model	Physical Flow Model	Transportation Model
	Physical Flow equation	Physical Flow equation	Physical Flow equation**	Shortest path
	Additional asset costs	Additional asset costs	Expansion constant	Expansion constant
3. How would spare capacity be treated?	Retained	Could be removed*	Would not be modelled	Would not be modelled
4. How would decrement (back flow) costs be treated?	Zero	Could be calculated	Negative expansion constant	Negative expansion constant

* method to be defined

** Incremental method to be defined

- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- 3. How should spare network capacity be treated?
- 4. Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?
- 5. How should entry and exit costs be disaggregated?
 - Howsh ◆A) Reference node?
- 7. Should →B) Solver with
- 3. Are zon

Ĝ.

- 9. Should allowed
- 10. Should

- Non-negative constraint?
 - •50:50 constraint?
- Other constraint?

C) Other?

) 50:50

levosel c

capped?

- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- 3. How should spare network capacity be treated?
- 4. Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?
- 5. How should entry and exit costs be disaggregated?
- 6. How should negative costs be treated?
- Should 7. に50 A) Removed ... eniryte •by solver? Are zo 8. Should as last step in Methodology? 9, CONSL allowa B) Retained; no. Should ped? With obligation to flow? national**grid** Commoditised?

- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- 3. How should spare network capacity be treated?
- 4. Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?
- 5. How should entry and exit costs be disaggregated?
- e. How should negative costs be treated?
- 7. Should capacity charges be adjusted to 50:50 entry:exi (A) 50:50
- 3. Are zone
- o. Should c ellowed i
- 10. Should y
- Scaling (multiplicative)?

JEVC

- Adjustment (additive)?
- •by solver?

202

Examples of Adjusting LRMCs to 50:50 Entry Exit



Adjustment impact compared with scaling

- If Entry Costs increase while Exit Costs decrease
 - Entry points with lower than average costs "lose"
 - Entry points with higher than average costs "win"
 - Exit points with lower than average costs "win"
 - Exit points with higher than average costs "lose"

- If Entry Costs decrease while Exit Costs increase
 - Entry points with lower than average costs "win"
 - Entry points with higher than average costs "lose"
 - Exit points with lower than average costs "lose"
 - Exit points with higher than average costs "win"



- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- 3. How should spare network capacity be treated?
- 4. Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?
- 5. ਮਿ੦ਾਮ ਤ¦ ◆A) For DN purposes?
- ৫. দি০৬ হা ◆B) To mirror exit regime?
- 7. Should entry:e ◆C) To enhance stability?
- 8. Are zones required?
- Should capacity charges be adjusted to recover allowed revenue and if so how?
- 10. Should year on year price changes be capped?

nationalgrid

?locistoent

1:50

- S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year? 1.
- How should incremental costs be modelled? 2.
- How should spare network capacity be treated? 3.
- Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered? 4
- A) No, recover via commodity 5.

Plets

How shoul • B) Yes 7. Should car

eniry:exii a

5.

- i) Scaling (multiplicative)?
- Are zones Ii) Adjustment (additive)? 8.
- Should capacity charges be adjusted to recover 9. allowed revenue and if so how?
- 10. Should year on year price changes be capped?

- 1. S&D Scenarios: 1 Year or multiple Year?
- 2. How should incremental costs be modelled?
- 3. How should spare network capacity be treated?
- 4. Should decrement (back flow) costs be considered?
- 5. How should entry and exit costs be disaggregated?
- e. How should negative costs be treated?
- 7. Should capacity charges be adjusted to 50:50 entry:exit and it so how?
- Are zone
 Are zone
 A) To reflect uncertainty (forecast change)?
- allowed (◆B) To enhance stability?
- **10.** Should year on year price changes be capped?

nationalgrid

)Yer

Alternate Tariff Model Summary

	Issue	Prevailing Exit	Options	
5	How should entry and exit costs be disaggregated?	Solver with non-negative constraint	Unconstrained Solver or Reference Node	
6	How should negative costs treated?	Removed via solver	Retained or removed as final step	
7	Should capacity charges be adjusted to 50:50 entry:exit and if so how?	Scaled	Constant adjustment factor or solver constraint	
8	Are zones required?	Yes	By price comparison if required	
9	Are capacity charges adjusted to recover allowed revenue and if so how?	Yes	No - cost recovery via commodity charges	
10	Should year on year price changes be capped?	Yes (+/- 30%)	No capping or capping based on forecast or average costs	